

ON THE CONSTANT OF HOMOTHETY FOR COVERING A CONVEX SET WITH ITS SMALLER COPIES

MÁRTON NASZÓDI*

ABSTRACT. Let H_d denote the smallest integer n such that for every convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ there is a $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that K is covered by n translates of λK . In [2] the following problem was posed: Is there a $0 < \lambda_d < 1$ depending on d only with the property that every convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is covered by H_d translates of $\lambda_d K$? We prove the affirmative answer to the question and hence show that the Gohberg–Markus–Boltyanski–Hadwiger Conjecture (according to which $H_d \leq 2^d$) holds if, and only if, a formally stronger version of it holds.

1. DEFINITIONS AND RESULTS

A *convex body* in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is a compact convex set K with non-empty interior. Its volume is denoted by $\text{vol}(K)$.

Definition 1.1. For $d \geq 1$ let H_d denote the smallest integer n such that for every convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ there is a $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that K is covered by n translates of λK . Furthermore, let $\overline{H}_d$ denote the smallest integer m such that there is a $0 < \lambda_d < 1$ with the property that every convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is covered by m translates of $\lambda_d K$.

Clearly, $H_d \leq \overline{H}_d$. The following question was raised in [2] (Problem 6 in Section 3.2): *Is it true that $H_d = \overline{H}_d$?*

We answer the question in the affirmative using a simple topological argument.

Theorem 1.2. $H_d = \overline{H}_d$.

The famous conjecture of Gohberg, Markus, Boltyanski and Hadwiger states that $H_d \leq 2^d$ (and only the cube requires 2^d smaller positive homothetic copies to be covered). For more information on the conjecture, refer to [1], [7] and [11]. In view of Theorem 1.2, the conjecture is true if, and only if, the following, formally stronger conjecture holds:

Conjecture 1.3 (Strong Gohberg–Markus–Boltyanski–Hadwiger Conjecture). *For every $d \geq 1$ there is a $0 < \lambda_d < 1$ such that every convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is covered by 2^d translates of $\lambda_d K$.*

In Section 2 we prove the Theorem. We note that the proof provides no upper bound on λ_d in terms of d . In Section 3 we show an upper bound on the number of

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 52A35, 52A20, 52C17.

Key words and phrases. illumination, Boltyanski–Hadwiger Conjecture, convex sets.

* Partially supported by a Postdoctoral Fellowship of the Pacific Institute for the Mathematical Sciences.

translates of λK required to cover K , improving a result of Januszewski and Lassak [5].

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We define the following function on the set of convex bodies:

$$\lambda(K) := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : K \text{ is covered by } H_d \text{ translates of } \lambda K\}.$$

By [8], H_d is finite for every d , so $\lambda(\cdot)$ is well defined.

Remark 2.1. Clearly, $\lambda(\cdot)$ is affine invariant; that is, if T is an invertible affine transformation of $\mathbb{R}^d$ then $\lambda(K) = \lambda(TK)$. Moreover, $0 < \lambda(K) < 1$.

We recall the definition of the (multiplicative) *Banach–Mazur distance* of two convex bodies L and K in $\mathbb{R}^d$:

$$(2.1) \quad d_{\text{BM}}(L, K) = \inf\{\lambda > 0 : L - a \subseteq T(K - b) \subseteq \lambda(L - a) \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbb{R}, T \in GL(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$$

The following proposition states that $\lambda(\cdot)$ is upper semi-continuous. Similar statements have been proved before, cf. Lemma 2. in [3].

Proposition 2.2. *For every convex body K and $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ with the property that for any convex body L , if $d_{\text{BM}}(L, K) < 1 + \delta$ then $\lambda(L) < \lambda(K) + \varepsilon$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda := \lambda(K) + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. Then there is a set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\text{card } \Lambda \leq H_d$ such that $K \subseteq \Lambda + \lambda K$. Now, let $\delta > 0$ be such that

$$(2.2) \quad 1 + \delta < \frac{\lambda + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}{\lambda}$$

Assume that $d_{\text{BM}}(L, K) < 1 + \delta$; that is,

$$(2.3) \quad L - a \subseteq \bar{K} \subseteq (1 + \delta)(L - a),$$

where $\bar{K}$ is an affine image (under an invertible affine transformation) of K . Clearly, we may assume that $\bar{K} = K$.

It follows that $L - a \subseteq \Lambda + (1 + \delta)\lambda(L - a)$, and hence, $\lambda(L) \leq (1 + \delta)\lambda < \lambda(K) + \varepsilon$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{K}_a^d$ denote the set of affine equivalence classes of convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^d$ equipped with the topology induced by the metric d_{BM} . In [6] it is shown that $\mathcal{K}_a^d$ is a compact space. (Note that Macbeath uses a different metric on $\mathcal{K}_a^d$ however, that metric induces the same topology as d_{BM} , cf. [4].)

It follows from Remark 2.1 and Proposition 2.2 that $\lambda(\cdot)$ is an upper semi-continuous function on a compact space. Hence, it attains its maximum, which (by Remark 2.1) is less than one. This proves Theorem 1.2.

3. QUANTITATIVE RESULTS

Januszewski and Lassak [5] proved that for every $k + l > d^d$, any convex body $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is covered by k translates of λK and l translates of $-\lambda K$, where $\lambda = 1 - \frac{1}{(d+1)d^d}$. The following argument shows that one may obtain a better estimate on the number of translates of λK required to cover K , using results of Rogers [8], Rogers and Shephard [9], and Rogers and Zhong [10].

Let K, L be convex bodies in $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $N(K, L)$ denote the *covering number* of K and L ; that is, the smallest number of translates of L required to cover K . In [10] it is shown that

$$N(K, L) \leq \frac{\text{vol}(K - L)}{\text{vol}(L)} \Theta(L),$$

where $\Theta(L)$ is the covering density of L . By [8], $\Theta(L) \leq d \log d + \log \log d + 5d$ for every convex body L in $\mathbb{R}^d$. It follows that for any $0 < \lambda < 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(K, \lambda K) &\leq \lambda^{-d} \frac{\text{vol}(K - K)}{\text{vol } K} (d \log d + \log \log d + 5d) \\ (3.1) \quad &\leq \lambda^{-d} \binom{2d}{d} (d \log d + \log \log d + 5d) \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows from the Rogers–Shephard Inequality [9]. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} N(K, -\lambda K) &\leq \lambda^{-d} \frac{\text{vol}(K + K)}{\text{vol } K} (d \log d + \log \log d + 5d) \\ (3.2) \quad &= \lambda^{-d} 2^d (d \log d + \log \log d + 5d) \end{aligned}$$

By substituting $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ into (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain the following:

Remark 3.1. The number of translates of $\frac{1}{2}K$ that cover K is of order not greater than $8^d \sqrt{d} \log d$; and the number of translates of $-\frac{1}{2}K$ that cover K is of order not greater than $4^d d \log d$.

Definition 3.2. Let $0 < \lambda < 1$, and $d \geq 1$. We denote by $\overline{H}_d(\lambda)$ the smallest integer n such that every convex body K in $\mathbb{R}^d$ is covered by n translates of λK .

It follows from Remark 3.1 that $\overline{H}_d(\frac{1}{2})$ is finite for every d . A natural strengthening of the question we discussed in this note is the following:

Question 3.3. Is there a universal constant $0 < \lambda < 1$ such that for every dimension d , H_d is equal to $\overline{H}_d(\lambda)$?

REFERENCES

- [1] Bezdek, K. *The illumination conjecture and its extensions*. Period. Math. Hungar. **53** (2006), no. 1-2, 59–69.
- [2] Brass, P.; Moser, W.; Pach, J. *Research problems in discrete geometry*. Springer, New York, 2005. xii+499 pp. ISBN: 978-0387-23815-8; 0-387-23815-8
- [3] Boltjanski, V. G.; Soltan, P. S. *A solution of Hadwiger’s covering problem for zonoids*. Combinatorica **12** (1992), no. 4, 381–388.
- [4] Grünbaum, B. *Measures of symmetry for convex sets*. 1963 Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. VII pp. 233–270 Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.
- [5] Januszewski, J.; Lassak, M. *Covering a convex body by its negative homothetic copies*. Pacific J. Math. **197** (2001), no. 1, 43–51.

- [6] Macbeath, A. M. *A compactness theorem for affine equivalence-classes of convex regions*. Canadian J. Math. **3**, (1951). 54–61.
- [7] Martini, H., Soltan, V., *Combinatorial problems on the illumination of convex bodies*, Aequationes Math. **57** (1999), 121–152.
- [8] Rogers, C. A. *A note on coverings* Mathematika **4** (1957), 1–6.
- [9] Rogers, C. A.; Shephard, G. C., *The difference body of a convex body*, Arch. Math. **8** (1957), 220–233.
- [10] Rogers, C. A.; Zong, C. *Covering convex bodies by translates of convex bodies*. Mathematika **44** (1997), no. 1, 215–218.
- [11] Szabó, L. *Recent results on illumination problems*, Intuitive geometry (Budapest, 1995), 207–221, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., **6**, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1997.

MÁRTON NASZÓDI, DEPT. OF MATH. AND STATS., 632 CENTRAL ACADEMIC BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA, EDMONTON, AB, CANADA T6G 2G1

E-mail address: `mnaszodi@math.ualberta.ca`